

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/609,070	06/28/2003	Michael Edward Gallagher	62003	62003 7512	
75	90 12/01/2004	EXAMINER		INER	
Michael E. Gallagher 13651 Dorman Road			PRONE, JASON D		
Waterford, PA 16441			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3724		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/609,070	GALLAGHER, MICHAEL EDWARD				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
*	Jason Prone	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 12 November 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the contract of the con	tion. A proper reply to a places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	1 44] 4 - 4 - 4				
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d)  they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	*	ex-				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	all					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: 4-7,9 and 10.	Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,8 and 11.	Group 3700					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	W W					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	N				
10. Other:	* .					
	*					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment to claims 1 and 8 disclose that the stop block/blade support structure is adustable in the longitudinal direction, however it is not disclosed that the longitudinal position of the blade support structure/stop block is the same as the longitudinal position/motion of the saw blade. Basically is needs to be stated that the orientation of the blade motion and the blade support structure/stop block are the same. Also, new claim 12 requires further consideration.